

Kathleen Sullivan (SBN 242261)
kathleensullivan@quinnemanuel.com
QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
SULLIVAN LLP
51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor
New York, NY 10010
Telephone: (212) 849-7000
Facsimile: (212) 849-7100

Sean S. Pak (SBN 219032)
seanpak@quinnemanuel.com
Amy H. Candido (SBN 237829)
amycandido@quinnemanuel.com
John M. Neukom (SBN 275887)
johnneukom@quinnemanuel.com.
QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
SULLIVAN LLP
50 California Street, 22nd Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: (415) 875-6600
Facsimile: (415) 875-6700

Mark Tung (SBN 245782)
marktung@quinnemanuel.com
QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
SULLIVAN LLP
555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5th Floor
Redwood Shores, CA 94065
Telephone: (650) 801-5000
Facsimile: (650) 801-5100

Attorneys for Plaintiff Cisco Systems, Inc.

Steven Cherny (*admitted pro hac vice*)
steven.cherny@kirkland.com
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
601 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10022
Telephone: (212) 446-4800
Facsimile: (212) 446-4900

Adam R. Alper (SBN 196834)
adam.alper@kirkland.com
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
555 California Street
San Francisco, California 94104
Telephone: (415) 439-1400
Facsimile: (415) 439-1500

Michael W. De Vries (SBN 211001)
michael.devries@kirkland.com
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
333 South Hope Street
Los Angeles, California 90071
Telephone: (213) 680-8400
Facsimile: (213) 680-8500

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ARISTA NETWORKS, INC.,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 5:14-cv-5344-BLF (PSG)

**ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE
UNDER SEAL CONFIDENTIAL
INFORMATION IN CISCO SYSTEMS,
INC.'S MOTION FOR PARTIAL
SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

Judge: Hon. Beth Labson Freeman

Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 7-11 and 79-5, Cisco Systems, Inc. (“Cisco”) hereby brings this administrative motion for an order to seal certain information filed in connection with Cisco’s Motion for Partial Summary Judgment.

I. LEGAL STANDARD FOR SEALED MATERIALS IN CONNECTION WITH A DISPOSITIVE MOTION

This Court has previously explained the standards for filing under seal materials in connection with a dispositive motion, such as a motion for summary judgment. “Unless a particular court record is one ‘traditionally kept secret,’ ” a “strong presumption in favor of access” to judicial records “is the starting point.” *Kamakana v. City & Cnty. of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1178 (9th Cir.2006) (quoting *Foltz v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co.*, 331 F.3d 1122, 1135 (9th Cir.2003)). A party seeking to seal judicial records relating to a dispositive motion therefore bears the burden of overcoming this presumption by articulating “compelling reasons supported by specific factual findings that outweigh the general history of access and the public policies favoring disclosure.” *Id.* at 1178–79. Compelling reasons for sealing court files generally exist when such “‘court files might have become a vehicle for improper purposes,’ such as the use of records to gratify private spite, promote public scandal, circulate libelous statements, or release trade secrets.” *Id.* (quoting *Nixon v. Warner Commc’ns, Inc.*, 435 U.S. 589, 598 (1978)). However, “[t]he mere fact that the production of records may lead to a litigant’s embarrassment, incrimination, or exposure to further litigation will not, without more, compel the court to seal its records.” *Kamakana*, 447 F.3d at 1179.

Furthermore, in this District, parties seeking to seal judicial records must also follow Civil L.R. 79–5, which requires, *inter alia*, that a sealing request be “narrowly tailored to seek sealing only of sealable material.” Civil L.R. 79–5(b). Where the submitting party seeks to file under seal a document designated confidential by another party (the “designating party”), the burden of articulating compelling reasons for sealing is placed on the designating party. *Id.* 79–5(e). *Cowan v. GE Capital Retail Bank*, No. 13–cv–03935–BLF, 2015 WL 1324848, at *1 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 24, 2015) (J. Freeman).

02099-00004/8116983.1

1

ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION IN CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.’S MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT

1 Examples of materials that have been sealed under this standard include :

- 2 • Documents relating to the “internal procedures for addressing cardholder fraud
- 3 notifications” of a bank, *id.* at *2-3;
- 4 • Documents containing “information about [a party’s] business performance, structure, and
- 5 finances that could be used to gain unfair business advantage against them,” *Schwartz v.*
- 6 *Cook*, No. 5:15-cv-03347-BLF, 2016 WL 1301186, at *2 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 4, 2016) (J.
- 7 Freeman);
- 8 • Documents containing “highly sensitive information regarding [a party’s] product
- 9 architecture and development,” *Delphix Corp. v. Actifio, Inc.*, No. 13–cv–04613–BLF,
- 10 2014 WL 4145520, at *2 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 20, 2014) (J. Freeman);
- 11 • Documents in the form of “emails containing information about [a party’s] business
- 12 practices, recruitment efforts, and discussions regarding potential partnerships with other
- 13 product manufacturers,” *Koninklijke Philips N.V. v. Elec-Tech International Co., Ltd.*, No.
- 14 14–cv–02737–BLF, 2015 WL 581574, at *1-2 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 10, 2015) (J. Freeman); and
- 15 • Documents containing “information regarding non-public recruitment efforts and business
- 16 practices” of a party, *id.* at *2-3.

17 **II. MATERIALS FOR WHICH CISCO REQUESTS SEALING**

18 Cisco requests the sealing of a number of documents which contain confidential Cisco
 19 information for the compelling reasons explained in detail in the Declaration of Sara E. Jenkins in
 20 support of this Administrative Motion to File Under Seal (“Jenkins Declaration”). Cisco has
 21 narrowly tailored its request to seal only confidential information as detailed in the Jenkins
 22 Declaration.

23 In addition, with its Motion for Partial Summary Judgment, Cisco is filing certain materials
 24 that Arista previously designated as confidential. Cisco files this motion to provide Arista with the
 25 opportunity to file a supporting declaration pursuant to Civil L.R. 79-5(e)(1). Cisco does not yet
 26 know which of those materials, or which portions of those materials, Arista will seek to have

sealed through a supporting declaration. Cisco notes, however, that internal emails may not be sealed for purposes of a dispositive motion simply because they are embarrassing to the party. *Kamakana*, 447 F.3d at 1179.

Document	Portions to Be Filed Under Seal	Party With Claim of Confidentiality
Cisco's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment ("Motion")	Highlighted Portions	Arista
Exhibits 1-26; 40-41; 44-48; 51-53; 64-70; 72; 74-75; 77-82 to the Declaration of Amy H. Candido in Support of Cisco's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment	Entire	Arista
Exhibits 55, 58 to the Declaration of Amy H. Candido in Support of Cisco's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment	Highlighted Portions	Arista
Exhibit 54 to the Declaration of Amy H. Candido in Support of Cisco's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment	Highlighted Portions	Cisco
Exhibit 50 to the Declaration of Amy H. Candido in Support of Cisco's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment	Entire	Hewlett Packard
Exhibit 76 to the Declaration of Amy H. Candido in Support of Cisco's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment	Entire	Mike Volpi, Arista

02099-00004/8116983.1

Document	Portions to Be Filed Under Seal	Party With Claim of Confidentiality
Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of Judith A. Chevalier in Support of Cisco's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment	Highlighted Portions	Arista
Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of Kevin Almeroth in Support of Cisco's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment	Highlighted Portions	Hewlett Packard and Arista
Exhibit 2 to the Declaration of Kevin Almeroth in Support of Cisco's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment	Highlighted Portions	Arista

IV. CONCLUSION

Concurrently with this Motion, Cisco is filing redacted and highlighted versions of the above-referenced documents, where applicable, indicating the specific portions Cisco requests to seal.

Dated: July 1, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Amy H. Candido

Kathleen Sullivan (SBN 242261)
kathleensullivan@quinnemanuel.com
QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
SULLIVAN LLP
51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor
New York, NY 10010
Telephone: (212) 849-7000
Facsimile: (212) 849-7100

Sean S. Pak (SBN 219032)
seanpak@quinnemanuel.com
Amy H. Candido (SBN 237829)
amycandido@quinnemanuel.com
John M. Neukom (SBN 275887)
johnneukom@quinnemanuel.com.

02099-00004/8116983.1

1 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
2 SULLIVAN LLP
3 50 California Street, 22nd Floor
4 San Francisco, CA 94111
5 Telephone: (415) 875-6600
6 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700

7 Mark Tung (SBN 245782)
8 marktung@quinnemanuel.com
9 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
10 SULLIVAN LLP
11 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5th Floor
12 Redwood Shores, CA 94065
13 Telephone: (650) 801-5000
14 Facsimile: (650) 801-5100

15 Steven Cherny (*admitted pro hac vice*)
16 steven.cherny@kirkland.com
17 KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
18 601 Lexington Avenue
19 New York, New York 10022
20 Telephone: (212) 446-4800
21 Facsimile: (212) 446-4900

22 Adam R. Alper (SBN 196834)
23 adam.alper@kirkland.com
24 KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
25 555 California Street
26 San Francisco, California 94104
27 Telephone: (415) 439-1400
28 Facsimile: (415) 439-1500

Michael W. De Vries (SBN 211001)
michael.devries@kirkland.com
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
333 South Hope Street
Los Angeles, California 90071
Telephone: (213) 680-8400
Facsimile: (213) 680-8500

Attorneys for Plaintiff Cisco Systems, Inc.